



DANA-FARBER/BRIGHAM AND WOMEN'S CANCER CENTER

Pathogenic variants among female breast cancer patients with a subsequent cancer demonstrate potential preventable cancer burden

Brittany L. Bychkovsky, MD, MSc^{1,2,3}; Min-Tzu Lo, PhD⁴; Amal Yussuf, BS⁴; Carrie Horton, MS⁴; Judy E. Garber, MD, MPH^{1,2,3}; Huma Q. Rana, MD, MPH^{1,2,3} ¹Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (DFCI), Boston, MA, USA; ⁴Department of Clinical Diagnostics, Ambry Genetics, Aliso Viejo, California,

INTRODUCTION

- With both improved breast cancer (BC) survivorship and increasing genetic testing of patients (pts) with Stage 2-3 BC who are eligible for adjuvant Olaparib, it is important to identify and manage pts with pathogenic variants for additional cancer risks.
- Identification of PVs in cancer susceptibility genes impacts screening and options for risk-reduction in addition to cancer treatment.
- Herein, we report the burden of potentially preventable cancers.

OBJECTIVES

- Primary aim: To delineate the frequency and distribution of germline PVs among females with BC and cancer of another site.
- To determine germline PV prevalence by diagnostic order of BC.
- Characterize the preventable cancer burden among females with MPCs and a germline PVs who were first diagnosed with BC.

METHODS

- *Study population*: Patients age≥18 with MPCs who underwent germline testing from March 2012-December 2016 with Ambry Genetics for the following 21 genes: ATM, BARD1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CDH1, CHEK2, EPCAM, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, NBN, NF1, PALB2, PMS2, PTEN, RAD51C, RAD51D, STK11, and TP53.
- Multiple cancers at one site were counted once only.
- Clinical characteristics were obtained from clinician-completed requisition forms and from clinical pedigrees and chart notes when provided.
- Statistical analysis: Descriptive statistics were used. T-tests were used to examine differences in ages at panel testing, first and second primary cancer diagnosis. For diagnostic order of BC, we performed χ^2 test. All statistical tests were two-sided, and a P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.





n	e	

At a glance PV in tested genes = 12.7% Median age at 1st cancer diagnosis = 49 (IQR:18) Median age at 2nd cancer diagnosis = 59 (IQR:16) Median age at testing = 63 (IQR: 16) Predominantly non-Hispanic white (4687, 70.8%) Bilateral BC rates were low (1007, 15.2%)

The prevalence of PVs differed based on diagnostic order of BC from 1st to <u>></u>3rd:

- Decreased in high-risk BC genes
- Stable in moderate-risk BC genes
- Increased in mismatch repair genes



Amongst females with a PV identified, 55.5% (243) were subsequently diagnosed with cancers for which guidelines-based interventions may have resulted in a different outcome.

- this cohort.

Contact with questions: <u>brittany bychkovsky@dfci.havard.edu</u>

Follow at @DrBBychkovsky





CONCLUSIONS

• Of 6617 females included in this study, 843 (12.7%) were identified to have a single PV in one of 21-cancer genes tested.

• By diagnostic order of breast cancer, PV prevalence was 16.4% (95% CI:15-17.8%) with BC as 1st cancer, 11.0% (95% CI:9.9-12.3%) for 2nd and 14.8% (95% CI:11.4-19.2%) as $>3^{rd}$ diagnostic order (p<0.001).

• Prevalence of PVs was high >10% regardless of diagnostic order of breast cancer in

• Among females first were diagnosed with breast cancer who later developed a subsequent cancer, 16.3% (438/2687) had a hereditary cancer gene that may have impacted breast, ovarian, endometrial and/or colon cancer care, and among these pts, 55.5% (243/438) would have had different care prior to their subsequent cancer diagnosis had this gene been identified.