Abstract #10525: Closing the gap: Trends in inconclusive rates in hereditary cancer testing across racial/ethnic groups

Authors: Foluso Olabisi Ademuyiwa, MD, MPH¹, Carolyn Horton, MS², Holly LaDuca, MS², Tim Komala, BS², Tina Pesaran, MS², Jessica Profato, MS² 1) Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63110; 2) Ambry Genetics; Aliso Viejo, CA 92656

Background:

- Several groups have described disparities in genetic test results for inherited breast cancer predisposition, with a disproportionate number of variants of unknown significance (VUS) reported in non-Caucasian individuals.
- VUS results lead to ambiguity in risk management and counseling.
- Little data exists on how ethnicity- and gene-specific VUS rates have changed over time and whether such disparities have improved or worsened.

Methods:

- Demographic and results data were retrospectively reviewed in individuals who self-reported as African American, Asian, Caucasian, or Hispanic as specified by the test requisition form, and whose testing included five commonly tested breast cancer predisposition genes with published management guidelines (ATM, BRCA1, BRCA2, CHEK2, PALB2).
- The frequency of germline variants of unknown significance (VUS) in the five genes was assessed for each racial/ethnic group in September 2015 and September 2020

Cohort Description			
Characteristic	2015 Total n=44,147	2020 Total n=284,130	
Sex			
Female	42369 (96.0%)	258,073 (90.8%)	
Male	1,817 (4.0%)	26,057 (9.2%)	
Ethnicity			
African American	2,870 (6.5%)	26,140 (9.2%)	
Asian	2,031 (4.6%)	15 <i>,</i> 059 (5.3%)	
Caucasian	36,554 (82.8%)	219,948 (77.2%)	
Hispanic	2,649 (6.0%)	23,867 (8.4%)	
Average Age at Testing	53.1y (SD 12.8)	45.9y (SD 12.4)	
Personal History			
Affected with any cancer	37220 (84.3%)	209,811 (73.8%)	
Not affected with cancer	6927 (15.7%)	74319 (26.2%)	

20% 18% 16% 14% 12% 10% 7.5% 8% 6.0% 6% 4% 2% 0% Positive 2)



Corresponding author: <u>chorton@ambrygen.com</u>

These findings may be indicative of efforts by clinicians and laboratories to reduce disparities in VUS rates. Clinical utility and accuracy of genetic testing in non-Caucasians may be improved through efforts such as 1) Increased referral for and adoption of genetic testing by non-Caucasians 2) More robust representation of non-Caucasians in reference population databases

3) Diversified approaches to variant classification



4.8%

2018	2020	
6.2%	5.2% *	
5.7%	5.1% *	
3.5%	3.2% *	

4.2% *

2020
2.8% *
4.2% *
1.5% *
2.5%

4% BRCA1 3% 3% 2% 2% 1%			
1% 0%	2015	2018	2020
-African American	1.5%	1.8%	1.6%
Asian	3.2%	2.7%	2.3% *
Caucasian	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%
Hispanic	1.3%	1.3%	1.0% *





*denotes statistically significant decrease

Future Directions for Research: