

**Clinical Genome Resource** 

www.clinicalgenome.org

# **Optimization of the ACMG-AMP Criteria for CDH1 Variant Classification** ClinGen

Rachid Karam<sup>1</sup>\*, Intan Schrader <sup>2</sup>\*, Kristy Lee<sup>3#</sup>, Carla Oliveira<sup>4</sup>, Maegan Roberts <sup>5</sup>, Keith Nykamp<sup>6</sup>, Tina Pesaran<sup>1</sup>, Rajarshi Ghosh<sup>7</sup>, Joana Figueiredo<sup>4</sup>, Yuya Kobayashi<sup>6</sup>, Pardeep Kaurah<sup>2</sup>, Kate Krempely<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Slavin<sup>8</sup>, Liying Zhang<sup>9</sup>, Elizabeth Chao<sup>10</sup>, Amanda Spurdle<sup>11</sup>, Sean Tavtigian<sup>12</sup>, Fatima Carneiro<sup>4</sup>, David Huntsman<sup>2</sup>, Sharon Plon<sup>7</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Ambry Genetics, <sup>2</sup> British Columbia Cancer Agency, <sup>3</sup> UNC Chapel Hill, <sup>4</sup> University of Porto, <sup>5</sup> GeneDx Laboratories, <sup>6</sup> Invitae, <sup>7</sup> Baylor College of Medicine, <sup>8</sup> City of Hope, <sup>9</sup> Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, <sup>10</sup> University of California Irvine, <sup>11</sup> QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, <sup>12</sup> Huntsman Cancer Institute.\*Co-Chairs; <sup>#</sup>Coordinator.

### Introduction

CDH1 mutations cause Hereditary Diffuse Gastric & Lobular Breast Cancer (HDGC – OMIM 137215). Heterozygotes for a germline CDH1 truncating pathogenic variant present an estimated cumulative risk of developing gastric cancer 70% (95% CI, 59%-80%) for males and 56% (95% CI, 44%-69%) for females. Women also have a 42% (95% CI, 23%-68%) risk of developing lobular breast cancer. CDH1 is a clinically actionable gene. Current recommendations include, for women, consideration of breast MRI starting at 30 years of age and risk-reducing Prophylactic mastectomy. gastrectomy İS recommended for both genders between the age of 20 and 30 (annual upper endoscopy following the dedicated Cambridge protocol with a minimum of 30 biopsies for people who do not undergo gastrectomy). Therefore, it is imperative to detect and correctly classify variants in CDH1 according to their pathogenicity. With this in mind, the ClinGen Hereditary Cancer Working Group selected CDH1, together with PTEN and TP53, as high priorities genes and created a *CDH1* working group (WG).

# **Evaluation of CDH1-Specific Criteria**

Working groups were assembled to analyze and present current knowledge related to CDH1 surrounding each ACMG/AMP criteria evidence type. The group agreed to the following process for drafting, testing, and adopting criteria.

#### Identify ACMG/AMP criteria that need optimization

# **Testing** *CDH1* **Optimizations**

Most proposed optimizations by the CDH1 WG focus on the assessment of CDH1 missense alterations. With this in mind, we selected 17 missense alterations with extensive literature in order to compare functional assays and in silico analysis to clinical data. Color code: Red/yellow= pathogenic criteria met fully/partially; Green/light green=benign criteria met fully/partially. DGC=Diffuse Gastric Cancer ILC=Invasive Lobular Breast Cancer.

#### Missense functional and *in silico* do not predict clinical behavior

Variant Data

Phenotype (please select only one of these 3 categories)

The CDH1 WG is an expert panel assembled from

**Evidence-based analysis of suggested optimizations** 

**Experts/ClinGen feedback: Make** edits/adjustments as needed

**Experts vote for proposed optimizations** 

**Application to ClinGen for formal Expert Panel status** 

	Proposed (	Opti	mizations (i	n red)
Rule code	Rule	ACMG	Rule Specifications	CDH1 Strength
		Popul	ation Data	
PS4	The prevalence of the variant in affected individuals is significantly increased compared with the prevalence in controls	Strong Pathogenic	As stated with well designed case-control studies.	Strong Pathogenic
PM2	Absent in population databases (or at extremely low frequency if recessive)	Moderate Pathogenic	If seen in general population, frequency <0.05% with 95% CI; most not be observed in homozygous state	Supporting Pathogenic or don't u
BA1	Allele frequency is greater than expected for disorder	Stand Alone Benign	Frequency cutoff of 0.2% (gnomAD) with 99.99% CI; minimum of 5 alleles present in the population	Stand Alone Benign
	Allele frequency is greater than expected for the			

Variant	<b>IN SILICO</b> : Tolerant vs Damaging	Functional Evidence	Population data (Gnomad)	Te	esting L (all cility alle	ab Frequency ele/total CDH1 les sequenced)	Ambry class	Index - HDGC criteria met (n) - se	ee tah 2 for criteria	Index - HDGC asso meeting HDGC c	ociated ca, but not riteria (DGC, LBC, 8C)	Index - unselec does not me (known	ted panel test et category 1 or unknown)	ng (n), Fam or 2 rriei	ily/ca ( tests	Co-occur LP/P
c.731A>G (p.D244G)	REVEL: T VEST3: T MetaSVM: T CADD: D SIFT: D Polyphen2: D Provean: D	Adhesion: abnormal Motility: abnormal	No population frequency	/ Ambr ind.	y Genetics	1/400000	VUS	0		(	)	(	1		1	
c.1118C>T (p.P373L)	REVEL: D VEST3: D MetaSVM: D CADD: D SIFT: D Polyphen2: D Provean: D	Invasion: Yes Motility: Yes Adhesion: abnormal E-cad expression: reduced Trafficking: abnormal EGFR: abnormal	East Asian: 0.02% (4/188 European (non-F): 0.002 (3/126718)	68) % Ambr	y Genetics	7/400000= 0.0067% Asian=10 Caucasian=16 Unknown=1	VUS	1 (phx of DG <50)	C & ILC	1 ILC	@75		12	16 ( , , , , , , , , , , ,	/11 0) *2 eg ¢G	1 TP53
c.1225T>C (W409R)	REVEL: D VEST3: D MetaSVM: T CADD: T SIFT: D Polyphen2: D Provean: D	Aggregation: abnormal Invasion: Yes	European (non-F): 0.004 (5/111712)	% Ambr	y Genetics	4/400000= 0.0035% Caucasian=13 Unknown=1	VUS	0			)		14		14	1 TP53
c.2245C>T (p.R749W	REVEL: D VEST3: D MetaSVM: D CADD: D SIFT: D Polyphen2: D Provean: D	Invasion: Yes Motility: Yes Catenin assembly: No Adhesion: abnormal E-cad expression: reduced Trafficking: abnormal EGFR: abnormal	No population frequency information could be for	/ Ambr ind.	y Genetics	1/400000	VUS	0			)		1		1	
c.2396C>0 (p.P799R)	REVEL: D VEST3: D MetaSVM: T CADD: D SIFT: D Polyphen2: D Provean: D	Invasion: Yes Motility: No Catenin assembly: NA Adhesion: abnormal E-cad expression: reduced Trafficking: abnormal EGFR: no	No population frequency information could be fou	/ Ambr Ind.	y Genetics	2/400000= 0.001%	VUS	0		(	)		2		2	
c.2494G>/ (p.V832M)	REVEL: D VEST3: D MetaSVM: D CADD: D SIFT: D Polyphen2: D Provean: T	Invasion: Yes Motility: No Catenin assembly: no Adhesion: abnormal E-cad expression: reduced Trafficking: abnormal EGFR: no	African: 0.004% (1/2403 <b>East Asian:0.17% (33/18</b> South Asian: 0.01% (4/30 European (non-F): 0.005 (7/126732)	4) <b>868)</b> 1782) Ambr 5%	y Genetics	6/400000= 0.013% frican American=2 Asian=30 Caucasian=16 viiddle Eastern=6 Mixed=1 Unknown=1	VUS	0		1 ILC	@51		55	Į.	56	1 BRCA2
			Variant Data					Phenotype (please se Category 1	lect only one <sub>Cater</sub>	of these 3 ca	tegories) <sub>Category</sub>	3		Se	egregati	on
Variant	<i>in silico</i> : Tolerant vs F Damaging E	unctional vidence	Population data (Gnomad)	Testing facility	Lab Frequent (allele/total CI alleles sequent	cy DH1 Ambry ced) classificatio	Index - HDO	GC criteria met (n) - see tab 2 for criteria	Index - HDGC asso meeting HDGC crito	ociated ca, but not eria (DGC, LBC, SRC	Index - unselecte testing (n), does category 1 or 2 (k unknown	ed panel not meet (nown or 1) Fami	Co-oc LP/I ly (n) variant	Numbe ur carrie segrega (n) ca (D	r of site tests/v ting wit GC, LBC	specific variant th HDGC , SRC)
c.353C>G (p.T118R)	REVEL: T IT VEST3: T N MetaSVM: T A CADD: T E SIFT: T E Polyphen2: T FG Provean: T E	nvasion: Yes lotility: Yes dhesion: abnormal -cad expression: educed GFR: yes	No population frequency information could be found.	Ambry Genetics	1/400000= 0.001%	VUS		0	(	)	1				0	
c.892G>A (p.A298T)	REVEL: T VEST3: T MetaSVM: T CADD: D SIFT: D A Polyphen2: D Provean: T	ivasion: Yes lotility: Yes dhesion: abnormal GFR: yes	South Asian:0.1% (41/30782) European (non-F): 0.05% (67/126698) Latin: 0.008% (3/34418) Other: 0.046% (3/6466)	Ambry Genetics	233/400000 0.058% Ashkenazi Jewish Asian=6 Caucasian=180 Hispanic=3 Middle Eastern= Mixed=12 Other=5 Ulthown=16	= =3 ■ 8		0	6 (ILC 3 1 Bilat-I	8-72yo) LC @55	226	2.	25 1 BRC 2 BRC	A1 A2	6 (0)	
c.1018A>G (p. T340A)	REVEL: T C VEST3: T C MetaSVM: T N CADD: T A SIFT: T E Polyphen2: T N Provean: T T	avasion: Yes Aotility: Yes atenin assembly: ormal dhesion: abnormal -cad expression: ormal rafficking: ? GFR: abnormal	East Asian: 0.3% (68/18866)	Ambry Genetics	55/400000= 0.013% African American Asian=34 Caucasian=11 Mixed=4 Unknown=5	-1 VUS	1 (GC 27, bu CDH1	@32, fhx GC @ It co-occur with p.Q771* phase unknown)	1 (ILC	@35)	49	5	1 1 (CD p.Q77 phase u 1 BRC 1 BRC	11 1* hkn.) A1 A2	4 (0)	
c.2343A>T (p.E781D)	REVEL: T M VEST3: T C MetaSVM: T A CADD: T E SIFT: T E Polyphen2: T F Provean: T T	avasion: Yes Aotility: No atenin assembly: No dhesion: abnormal -cad expression: educed rafficking: abnormal GFR: no	European (non-F): 0.002% (3/111696)	Ambry Genetics	42/400000= 0.01% African America Ashkenazi Jewis Caucasian=3 Unknown=3	in=1 <b>VUS</b>		0	(	)	42	4	2 1 CDF (P373 2 BRC	1 L) A2	0	

CDH1 experts encompassing clinicians, scientists and clinical laboratory diagnosticians, with a primary goal ACMG/AMP optimize the 2015 Variant to Interpretation Guidelines specific to CDH1.

Here we present the *CDH1* WG rule specifications to the ACMG/AMP criteria, which after systematic evaluation with a series of test variants will be finalized and incorporated into the group's curation process, as part of the CDH1 ClinGen Expert Panel recommendations application. The group for pathogenic and benign criteria optimizations for CDH1 include: standalone and strong benign *CDH1* specific allele frequency cutoffs; gene specifications for splicing; recommendations the tor use ot computational functional and evidence; standardization of acceptable HDGC diagnostic criteria and minimal clinical information. These criteria will be



#### Splicing functional and *in silico* predict clinical behavior

				Splicing Variant Data				Phen	otype				Segregation	HDGC His Pheno	tologic type		Aggreg	ate data
Variant	Splicing predictors	<i>in silico</i> : Tolerant vs Damaging	Function al Evidence	Population data (Gnomad)	Testing facility	Lab Frequency (allele/total CDH1 allelessourenced)	Ambry	Category 1 Index - HDGC criteria met (n) - see tab 2 for criteria	Category 2 Index - HDGC associated ca, but not meeting HDGC criteria (DGC, LBC, SRC)	Category 3 Index - unselected panel testing (n), does not meet category 1 or 2 (known or unknown)	Fi ( Li Families (n)	amilies with co-occuring .P/P variants (n)	Number of site specific carrier tests/variant segregating with HDGC ca (DGC, LBC, SRC)	Prophylatic gastrectomies (n)	Cases with foci of signet ring cells (n)	Ethnicity = N (East Asian, African, Ashkenazi Jewish, European (Finnish), European (Non- Finnish), Latino, Other, South Asian)	Proband history of cancer =N (Breast, NOS ;DCIS;IDC;LBC; DGC;IGC;SRC; unaffected;ot her*)	Family History of cancer = N (gastric;breast;other*)
c.715G>A (p.G239R)	HSF: abnorma MaxEnt: abnormal BDGP: abnormal ESEfinder: abnormal	REVEL: T VEST3: T MetaSVM: T CADD: D SIFT: D Polyphen2: D Provean: D	Causes deletion of the first 29 base pairs from exon 6 in white blood cells and a gastrectomy specimen (Kaurah P et al. JAMA. 2007 Jun; 297(21):2360- 72).	No population frequency information could be found.	Ambry Genetics	23/400000=0.005 7%	Mutation	1 (DGC @40)	1 (ILC @56) 1 (ILC @64)	6	12		11 (0, 3 not provided)	0	0	Caucasian=21 Hispanic=1 Unknown=1	Breast= 5 (2 ILCs) GC= 1 No/NOS= 16	
c.1901C>T (p.A634V)	HSF: abnorma MaxEnt: - abnormal BDGP: abnormal ESEfinder: abnormal	REVEL: T VEST3: T MetaSVM: T CADD: T SIFT: T Polyphen2: T Provean: T	In the COGA-3 cell ine RT-PCR and electrophoresi s of the cDNA revealed, in addition to the wild type fragment, a shorter PCR product. Sequencing identified a deletion of the last from exon 12 (nt 1994 – 2030)), resulting in a PTC 18 nucleotides after the deletion.	No population frequency information could be found.	Ambry Genetics	1/400000=0.0002 5%	VLP	1 (fhx 2DGC @50, 62 & ILC @44)	0	0	1		0	0	0	Asian=1	No= 1	DGC=2 ILC=1
c.2195G> A (p.R732Q)	HSF: abnorma MaxEnt: abnormal BDGP: abnormal ESEfinder: abnormal	REVEL: T VEST3: T MetaSVM: T CADD: D SIFT: D Polyphen2: D Provean: D	Minigene assay: showed that the 2195GA (R732Q)mutation a citivated a cryptic acceptor splice site in exon 14." This result was confirmed by RT- PCR of gastrectom y specimens, showi ng this variant results in "complex splicing" and deletion of 32 base pairs at the start of exon 14.	No population frequency information could be found.	Ambry Genetics	10/40000=0.002 5%	Mutation	1 (1DGC @67, 1GC @68, fhx 3 GC and 1 ILC)	0	2	3		7 (1 GC)	0	0	Ashkenazi Jewish=2 Caucasian=7 Unknown=1	GC= 2 No/NOS= 7	GC= 3 ILC= 1

### **Future Directions**

• Following expert votes and final edits to the criteria and curation process, an application will be submitted to

### validated by comparing clinical, population, computational, and functional data, among multiple institutions participating in the CDH1 WG.

ClinGen is funded through the following grants & contracts: 1U41HG006834, 1U01HG007437, 1U01HG007436, HHSN261200800001E.

		ind	lependent groups OR Single group with benign resu	It Supporting beingh of uon t use?
Rule code	Rule	ACMG	Rule Specifications	CDH1 Strength
		Other (Cl	inical Data)	
PP4	Patient's phenotype or family history is highly specific for a disease with a single genetic etiology	Supporting Pathogenic	Family meets HDGC dx criteria (Panel testing)	Strong pathogenic, if family meets HDGC 2015 dx criteria: 1. 2 GC cases in a family, one confirmed diffuse gastric cancer (DGC). 2. One case DGC <age 40.<br="">3. Personal or family history of DGC and ILC, one diagnosed &lt; 50.</age>
			NO Phenotype DGC and ILC (including prophylactic surgery) AND family history is not consistent with HDGC (age cut off); no fhx, exclude <i>de novo?</i>	Strong Benign
BP5	Other		NO Phenotype DGC and ILC (BUT not including prophylactic surgery) AND family history is not consistent with HDGC (age cut off); no phenotype confirms de novo	Supporting Benign

#### ClinGen for formal Expert Panel status.

#### References

1. Landrum MJ et al. ClinVar: public archive of interpretations of clinically relevant variants. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D862-8. 2. Richards S et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015 May 17(5):405-24.